

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 23, 2001 LB 536

SENATOR BROMM: You're not sure that it's true that it's a greater percentage or...

SENATOR DIERKS: Yeah.

SENATOR BROMM: So these figures that...on the handout where it says revised 4-18-01, where it gives a percentage in the right-hand column, you think that there's something that isn't...that's distorted here or why do you say that you think that may not be true?

SENATOR DIERKS: We're trying to provide them with the same amount of dollars that the other plants receive, Senator Bromm, on the same basis. They have not...one of the charts came around here before this one did showed that they had received 100 percent and that was not true.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR DIERKS: And that's why I circulated this chart to show that they only received 11.8.

SENATOR BROMM: Well, thank you, Senator Dierks. I guess I would like to get a little more information on that. I don't think that...I certainly don't want to have 100 percent capital investment received in terms of EPIC Funds. I think that would be bad...that would be bad policy. The concern, some of the concerns, and I supported your amendment and I may support the bill but it's not without concerns. And let me try to articulate them quickly if I can. I would like to know, on these plants that are in existence, when and how they are...if they are profitable, whether they are showing promise of being profitable? At some point, they have to stand on their own two legs. I'm not sure when that is; but if I had profit-loss statements to show the last three or four years where they were at, I think I'd have a better idea of whether we're throwing good money after good money or good money after bad money. That's (laugh)...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.